Synthetic, Structural, and Spectroscopic Studies on Solids Containing Tris(dipicolinato) Rare Earth Anions and Transition or Main Group Metal Cations

Philippa A. Brayshaw,[†] Jean-Claude G. Bünzli,[‡] Pascal Froidevaux,[‡] Jack M. Harrowfield,*^{,†} Yang Kim,^{†,§} and Alexander N. Sobolev^{†,||}

Research Centre for Advanced Minerals and Materials Processing, Department of Chemistry, University of Western Australia, Nedlands, W.A. 6009, Australia, and Institut de Chimie Min6rale et Analytique, Université de Lausanne, Place du Château 3, CH 1005, Lausanne, Switzerland

Received September 30, 1994'

The synthesis and basic characterisation are reported for 85 new solids of the general formula $[ML_x][Ln (\text{dipic})_3$ ^t n H₂O (M = Cr or Co; L = urea, ammonia, and various amines; Ln = La-Lu, plus Y; dipic = pyridine-2,6-dicarboxylate). Syntheses of some seven $Cs_3[Ln(dipic)_3]$ and $[N(C_2H_5)_4]_3[Ln(dipic)_3]$ species are also given. Low-temperature luminescence measurements on a variety of these compounds and full X-ray crystallographic structure determinations for Cs₃[Eu(dipic)₃] \cdot 9H₂O (orthorhombic, space group C222₁; $a = 10.165(2)$, $b = 18.164$ -(4), $c = 18.724(4)$ Å; $Z = 4$; $R_1 = 0.041$ for 1573 "observed" reflections) and $[Co(sar)][Eu(dipic)₃] \cdot 13H₂O$ (monoclinic, space group P_2/n ; $a = 10.611(4)$, $b = 26.500(10)$, $c = 17.583(7)$ Å; $\beta = 91.97(3)$ °; $Z = 4$; $R_1 =$ 0.037 for 7695 "observed" reflections) are described to illustrate the range and complexity of the physical properties of these new materials. Both Cr(III) and Co(III) act as very efficient quenchers of the normally strong [Eu/Tb- $(dipic)_3$ ³⁻ luminescence, though in at least one system it appears that Cr(III) may act to transfer excitation energy to Ln excited states from its own higher levels while simultaneously deactivating the Ln ion through its lower (doublet) states.

Introduction

Electronic energy transfer between luminescent rare earth (lanthanide) metal ion complexes and both organic and inorganic quenchers has been widely studied in liquid phases^{$1-3$} and to a more limited extent in solids,^{4,5} though extensive work has been conducted on mixed rare earth/transition metal ceramics and glasses. 6 The phenomenon is of general interest in regard to the exploitation of rare earth luminescence in practical devices.⁷ There is always a problem in the interpretation of any solution measurements in that the exact separation and relative orientation of any two centers involved in energy exchange are not readily established. This is not true of a crystalline solid provided the crystal structure is known, and it is for this reason that we have commenced a systematic synthetic, structural, and spectroscopic study of several series of compounds which are solids containing normally strongly luminescent rare earth complex ions in the presence of both transition and main group metal ions of widely different electronic structures. In this paper we present our

- **⁵**On leave from the Department of Chemistry, Koshin University, Pusan, 606-080 Korea.
- On leave from the L. Karpov Institute of Physical Chemistry, ul Obukha 10, Moscow 103064, Russia.
- @Abstract published in *Advance ACS Abstracts,* March 1, 1995.
- (1) Riehl, **J.** P.; Richardson, F. S. *Chem. Rev.* 1986, *86,* 1 and references therein.
- (2) Metcalf, D. H.; Bolender, **J.** P.; Driver, M. S.; Richardson, F. S. *J. Phys. Chem.* 1993, *97,* 553 and references therein.
- (3) Dong, W.; Flint, C. D. *J. Chem. Soc., Faraday Trans.* 1992,88,3435 and references therein.
- (4) Murray, G. M.; Sarrio, R. V.; Peterson, J. R. *Inorg. Chim. Acta* 1990, *176,* 233 and references therein.
- *(5)* Biinzli, J.-C. G.; Froidevaux, P.; Harrowfield, J. M. *Inorg. Chem.* 1993, *32,* 3306 and references therein.
- (6) Jezowska-Trebiatowska, B., Legendziewicz, **J., Strek,** W., Eds. *Excited States* of *Transition Elements;* World Scientific Publishers: Singapore, 1989.
- (7) Sabbatini, N.; Guardigli, M.; Lehn, J.-M. *Coord. Chem. Rev.* 1993, *123,* 201.

primary observations on salts containing some tris(dipicolinato)lanthanide(III) anions (dipicolinate $=$ pyridine-2,6-dicarboxylate) along with transition and main group metal cations.

Experimental Section

Synthesis. The sodium salts of the **tris(dipicolinato)lanthanide(III)** anions, $Na₃[Ln(dipic)₃]+xH₂O$ were all prepared by essentially the literature method for the Yb compound, 8 which involves reacting the freshly precipitated, gelatinous metal(II1) hydroxide with the appropriate amounts of dipicolinic acid and sodium hydroxide. For the lighter lanthanides $(La-Gd)$, the metal(III) oxides, where conveniently available, can be substituted for the hydroxide. As observed in some related syntheses, $9,10$ the rate of reaction of the oxides with acid decreases markedly across the lanthanide series. All the sodium salts were recrystallized from water by the addition of ethanol. $[Co(NH₃)₆]Cl₃,¹¹$ $[Co(en)_3]Cl_3·3H_2O, ^{12,13}[Co(sen)]Cl_3, ^{14}[Co((NO_2)_2sar)]Cl_3, ^{15}[Co((NH_3)_2 ~\text{sar})$]C1₅,¹⁵ [Co(sar)]C1₃,¹⁵ [Cr(en)₃]C1₃⁻³H₂O,^{16,17} [Cr((NH₂)₂Sar)]C1₃,¹⁸ $[Cr(sar)]Cl₃,¹⁸ [Cr(urea)₆]Cl₃,¹⁹ and $[Rh(en)₃]Cl₃²⁰$ were prepared (and,$ in some cases, resolved) by literature methods. (See below for explanation of the ligand name abbreviations.)

 $Cs₃[Ln(dipic)₃]$ **ⁿH₂O, Ln = Eu, Gd, Tb, and Dy.** The preparative procedure used was based on that of the sodium salts (vide supra), and

- (9) Faithfull, D. L.; Harrowfield, J. M.; Ogden, M. I.; Skelton, B. W.; Third, K.; White, A. H. *Aust. J. Chem.* 1992, *45,* 583.
- (10) Harrowfield, J. M.; Lu, W.-M.; Skelton, **B.** W.; White, **A.** H. *Aust. J. Chem.* 1994, *47,* 321, 339.
- (11) Bjerrum, J.; McReynolds, J. P. *Inorg. Synth.* **1946**, 2, 216.
- (12) Work, J. B. *Inorg. Synth.* 1946, *2,* 221.
- (13) Broomhead, J. **A.;** Dwyer, F. P.; Hogarth, J. W. *Inorg. Synth.* 1960, 6. 183.
- (14) Sameski, J. E.; Urbach, F. L. *J. Am. Chem. SOC.* 1971, *93,* 884.
- **(15)** Geue, R. J.; Hambley, T. W.; Harrowfield, **J.** M.; Sargeson, A. M.; Snow, M. R. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1984, *106,* 5478.
- (16) Gillard, R. D.; Mitchell, P. R. *J. Chem. SOC. A* 1968, 2129.
- (17) Galsb@l, F. *Inorg. Synth.* 1970, *12,* 274.
- (18) Comba, P.; Creaser, I. **I.;** Gahan, L. R.; Harrowfield, J. M.; Lawrance, G. **A.;** Martin, L. L.; Mau, **A.** H. W.; Sargeson, **A.** M.; Sasse, W. H. F.; Snow, M. R. *Inorg. Chem.* 1986, *25,* 384.
- (19) Brauer, *G. Handbook of Preparative Inorganic Chemistry;* Ferdinand Enke: Stuttgart, Germany. 1960; Vol. 2, **p** 1359.
- (20) Galsbd, F. *Inorg. Synth.* 1970, *12,* 271.

0 1995 American Chemical Society

University of Western Australia.

[‡] Université de Lausanne.

⁽⁸⁾ Albertsson, J. *Acta Chem. Scand.* 1970, *24,* 1213.

for the **4** metal ions was essentially identical once the Ln(II1) hydroxide or oxide had been obtained. Hence, it is described in detail only for the Eu compound:

 $Eu₂O₃$ (1.7 g) and dipicolinic acid (5.3 g) were heated and stirred in boiling water (50 mL) for 30 min. $Cs₂CO₃ (5.3 g)$ was gradually added to the hot solution, almost complete clarification thereby occurring. More $Cs₂CO₃$ was added until all solids had dissolved and the solution pH was \sim 6. The solution was then filtered and left at room temperature for 18 h. The crystalline solid deposited (6.6 g) was collected by filtration, and the filtrate was diluted with ethanol (100 mL) to cause precipitation of further material (3.0 g) . Recrystallization from hot water (5 mL/g) by cooling at room temperature for 24 h provided tablets with a just perceptibly pink color.

(The Dy compound after recrystallization was just perceptibly yellow, while the Gd and Tb compounds were completely colorless by eye.)

 $[N(C_2H_5)_4]_3[Ln(dipic)_3]_mH_2O$, $Ln = Eu$, Gd, Tb. Again, the preparative procedure followed that of the sodium salts, and details here are given only for the Tb compound:

Tb407 (0.68 g) was dissolved in an excess of hot, concentrated HC1 and the solution evaporated to dryness on a steam bath. The crystalline residue was dissolved in water (400 mL) and gelatinous $Tb(OH)$ ₃ precipitated by the addition of dilute NaOH solution until a pH value > 10 was attained. The precipitate was collected by filtration, washed well with water, and then slurried in water (20 mL) with dipicolinic acid (2.0 g) while being heated on a steam bath for 5 min. Ethanolic tetraethylammonium hydroxide was then added to the warm slurry until a clear solution had formed and the solution pH was \sim 6. The solution was evaporated under vacuum to a viscous oil, which was converted to a slightly discolored (pinkish), crystalline solid *(3.6* g) by being slurried with a mixture of ethanol and acetone. This crude product was heated in boiling methanol (40 mL) and the solution filtered to remove a small amount of insoluble white solid. The filtrate was diluted with acetone (100 mL), and the small amount of flocculent precipitate immediately formed was again removed by filtration. Addition of more acetone (100 mL) then led to the slow deposition of thin, colorless plates of the desired product.

 $[M(saturated \namine)][Ln(dipic)_3]$ ⁿH₂O (M = Cr, Co, Rh; **Saturated Amine** = **Ammonia, 1,2-Ethanediamine (en), l,l,l-Tris- (((2-aminoethyl)amino)methyl)ethane (sen), Sarcophagine (3,6,10,- 13,19-Hexaazabicyclo[6.6.6]icosane, sar), 1,s-Dinitrosarcophagine** $((NO₂)₂ sar), 1,8-Diaminosarcophagine ((NH₂)₂ sar))$ and $[Cr(urea)₆]$ $[Ln(dipic)_3]$ ⁿ H_2O . All the compounds prepared in these series, whether from optically inactive or (where appropriate) active reactants, were extremely insoluble in water and could be obtained quantitatively by simply mixing aqueous solutions of the transition metal complex cation as its chloride salt and the tris(dipicolinato)lanthanide anion as its sodium salt. Precipitates formed almost immediately even from hot solutions and were collected by filtration and washed well with water, and then ethanol and ether. In some cases, prolonged standing of the precipitate in contact with its supernatant solution resulted in an increase in crystal size, though for the Cr(II1) complexes this was accompanied by decomposition except with those involving cage amine ligands. A recrystallization procedure found to work well with the $[Co(en)_3]^{3+}$ salts was to dissolve the compound in dilute HCl and then add urea and heat the solution for several hours on a steam bath to slowly raise the pH through urea hydrolysis (though a large excess of urea must be avoided as it appears to convert the rare earth complex to some unidentified but possibly cationic species which does not form a precipitate).

Table Sup. 1 (supplementary material) contains a listing of the particular compounds prepared from the very numerous possible sets along with microanalytical data obtained after vacuum desiccation of each for 12 h. In most cases it appears that at least some water of crystallization is quite readily lost, so that crystals become opaque on storage and the composition deduced from crystal structure solutions commonly has more water molecules than in the composition deduced from the elemental analyses.

Spectroscopy. Procedures for the performance of low-temperature (77 **K)** measurements on the luminescence of powdered (microcrystalline) solids have been described previously.²¹⁻²³

Structure Determinations. Unique diffractometer data sets (Siemens P4 diffractometer, $2\theta_{\text{max}}$ 50°, $2\theta/\theta$ scan mode, filtered Mo K α radiation, λ 0.7107₃ Å) were measured at 293(2) K for Cs₃[Eu-(dipic)3]9H2O and **[Co(sar)][Eu(dipic)3]*13H20.** In each case, of the *N* independent reflections obtained, N_o with $I > 3\sigma(I)$ were considered "observed" and used in the full-matrix least squares refinements on $|F|$ $(Cs_3[Eu(dipic)_3]$ ⁹ H_2O or F^2 $([Co(sar)][Eu(dipic)_3]$ ¹ $13H_2O$ after absorption corrections. Anisotropic thermal parameters were refined for the non-hydrogen atoms. The structures were solved with SHELXS-8624 and refined using SHELXL-93.25 Pertinent results are given in Figures 1 and 2 and Tables $1-5$; material deposited comprises tables of bond lengths, bond angles and anisotropic thermal parameters for both compounds and tables of all atoms introduced at calculated coordinates as well as full details of the structure determination and refinement procedures. Crystals of **[Co(sar)][Eu(dipic),]*l3H20** were obtained by allowing the initially precipitated solid obtained as described above to stand in contact with the supernatant solution for 2 days. Crystals of $Cs_3[Eu(dipic)_3] \rightarrow H_2O$ were obtained by slow evaporation of an aqueous solution of the solid initially obtained by the addition of ethanol to its aqueous solution.

Results and Discussion

Syntheses. All the mixed rare earth/transition metal materials presently described are remarkably simple to prepare because of their very low solubilities in water, though this does also mean that purification and crystal growth for those salts formed from hydrolytically sensitive cations are difficult. The insolubility may be considered an example of the principle of charge matching,26 further illustrated by the difficulty in obtaining salts of cations such as $[Ni(en)_3]^{2+}$ and $[Ru(bipy)_3]^{2+}$, though it is worthy of note that cations such as $[Cr(dmso)_6]^{3+}$ and $[Co (phen)3]^{3+}$ do not precipitate $[Ln(dipic)3]^{3-}$ from water, so that an explanation of the seemingly high lattice energies of the insoluble compounds cannot be trivial, though the two structures presently described (below) show that hydrogen bonds between coordinated amino protons and dipicolinate oxygen atoms are important in at least these cases. All the isolated solids appear to be hydrated, and again as illustrated by the structures presently described, this water of crystallization may play a complicated role in determining the structure. Another interesting feature of these two structures indicating the subtlety of their determinants is the fact that the achiral hydrated cesium ion forms an optically active crystal with $[Eu(dipic)_3]^{3-}$ whereas the chiral $[Co(sar)]^{3+}$ cation gives a racemic compound.

Structure Determinations. The Cs^+ and $[Co(sar)]^{3+}$ salts of the $[Eu(dipic)_3]^{3-}$ anion were chosen as materials suitable for initial structural studies because they were readily crystallized, contained an anion known usually to show strong visible luminescence, and were anticipated to show markedly different properties due to the difference in cations. In fact, the spectroscopic properties of the two solids are very different (see below) and the structure determinations indicate several possible origins of this. Atomic coordinates for the cesium compound are given in Table **2,** and the cesium and europium coordination environments are shown in Figure 1. The two cations can be

- (22) Biinzli, J.-C. G.; Pradervand, G. 0. *J. Chem. Phys.* **1986,** *85,* 2489. (23) Biinzli, J.-C. G.; Plancherel, D.; Pradervand, G. 0. *J. Phys. Chem.*
- **1989,** *93,* 980. (24) Robinson, W. **T.;** Sheldrick, G. M. In *Crystallographic Computing 4: Techniques and New Technologies;* Isaacs, **N.** W., Taylor, M. R., Eds.; Oxford University Press: Oxford, U.K., 1988.
- (25) Sheldrick, *G.* M. In *Crystallographic Computing 5;* Moras, D., Podjamy, **A.** D., Thieny, J. C., Eds.; Oxford University Press: Oxford, U.K., 1992.
- (26) Burmeister, J. L.; Basolo, F. **In** *Preparative Inorganic Reactions;* Jolly, W. L., Ed.; John Wiley and Sons: New York, 1968; Vol. 5, **p** 1 **(p** 36 in particular).

⁽²¹⁾ Guerriero, P.; Vigato, P. **A.;** Biinzli, J-C. *G.;* Moret, E. *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.* **1990, 647.**

Figure 1. (a) $[Eu(dipic)₃]$ ³⁻ entity found in Cs₃ $[Eu(dipic)₃]$ ⁹H₂O, showing atom numbering within the dipicolinate ligands. (b) Part of the chain of bridged eleven- and eight-coordinate cesium atoms found within Cs3[Eu(dipic)3]9H20. Water oxygen atoms are indicated by the subscript w. (c) View of the unit cell of Cs3[Eu(dipic)3]9H20 with hydrogen bonds and coordinate bonds to cesium omitted. The cesium atoms are shown as hatched circles.

considered to be bridged by the dipicolinate carboxylate groups, though the complete coordination spheres around cesium are considerably more complicated to describe than is the expected,* quite regular tricapped trigonal prismatic coordination sphere of the $[Eu(dipic)_3]^{3-}$ moiety. Two types of cesium ion, bridged by both carboxylate and water oxygen atoms, are found as part of a chain extending throughout the crystal. The chain can actually be considered as pairs of (bridged) eleven-coordinate cesium ions linked through bridging coordination to single eightcoordinate cesium ions. Six water molecules per formula unit of $Cs₃[Eu(dipic)₃]·9H₂O$ are involved in coordination to $Cs⁺$, though all water molecules are involved in hydrogen bonding either to other water molecules or to the oxygen atoms of dipicolinate ligands. Hydrogen bonding parameters are given in Table 3. Although the space group is chiral and the crystal therefore optically active, the representation of the configuration of the complex anion as Δ in Figure 1 is arbitrary, since refinement did not allow definite assignment of the absolute configuration. Metal-metal separations are of course significant with regard to electronic interactions affecting luminescence behavior; the shortest $Cs \cdot \cdot Eu$ separation is 4.584 Å, while the shortest $Eu \cdot Eu$ separation is 10.165 Å. Deviations of the $[Eu(dipic)₃]$ ³⁻ anion from D_3 symmetry, despite the fact that the ion is situated on a twofold axis, are extremely small; the angles between the planes through the mid-point of the triangle $O(11)-O(13)'-O(21)'$, Eu and each of the coordinated oxygens are 60.4,59.7 and 59.9", while the dihedral angle between planes $O(11) - O(13)' - O(21)'$ and $O(11)' - O(13) - O(21)$ is only 0.7°. The centroids of these planes are separated by 3.8 **A.**

The chirality of the $[Co(sar)]^{3+}$ complex¹⁵ means that two forms, a racemic compound and a racemic mixture, of the salt formed with the chiral (and labile) $[Eu(dipic)₃]$ ³⁻ anion are possible. The material presently isolated is the racemic compound in which the two enantiomeric forms of the cation

Figure 2. Δ-Cation/Λ-anion pair present (along with its mirror image) in [Co(sar)][Eu(dipic)₃]·13H₂O.

Table 1. Crystallographic Data^a for $Cs_3[Eu(dipic)_3] \cdot 9H_2O$ (1) and [Co(sar)] [Eu(dipic)3].13Hzo **(2)**

$C_{21}H_{27}Cs_3EuN_3O_{21}$ (1) orthorhombic $a = 10.165(2)$ Å $b = 18.164(4)$ Å $c = 18.724(4)$ Å $V = 3460(1)$ Å ³ $7 = 4$	$M = 1208.2$ space group $C222_1$ $T = 293(2)$ K $\lambda = 0.7107$, \AA Q_{calc} = 2.32 g cm ⁻³ $\mu = 50.1$ cm ⁻¹ $R = 0.041$ $R_{w} = 0.044$
$C_{35}H_{67}CoEuN_9O_{25}$ (2) monoclinic $a = 10.611(4)$ Å $b = 26.500(10)$ Å $c = 17.583(7)$ Å $\beta = 91.97(3)^{\circ}$ $V = 4941(3)$ \AA^3 $7 = 4$	$M = 1224.9$ space group $P21/n$ $T = 293(2)$ K $\lambda = 0.7107$ ₂ Å Q_{calc} = 1.65 g cm ⁻³ $\mu = 16.8$ cm ⁻¹ $R_1 = 0.0372$ $wR_2 = 0.0961$

^aR factors defined as follows: $R_1 = ||F_0| - |F_c||\sum [F_0]$, $R_w = \sum (||F_0|| - ||F_1||)/\sum (|F_0| \sqrt{w})$, $w = 1/(\sigma 2(F) + 0.00003F^2)$. $wR_2 = {\sum [w(F_0^2 - 10^{-19} \text{m}) \sqrt{v}}$ $-F_c^2$?[}/ $\sqrt{\{\sum[w(F_o^2)^2]\}}$, $w = [\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0445P)^2 + 10.67P]^{-1}$, where $P = (\max(F_0^2, 0) + 2F_c^2)/3$.

have the expected^{15,27} D_3 *lel*₃ structure with all nitrogen donor atoms of a given cation having the same configuration. Atomic coordinates for the salt are given in Table **4,** and a A-ColA-Eu cation-anion pair is shown in Figure **2.** Bond lengths and bond angles within the cation are closely similar to those observed in several related species, $27-30$ and the parameters for the anion closely match those for the anion in its cesium salt, though the precision of the determination is inferior in the latter case. Water molecules within the lattice of the Co/Eu compound form a

Table 2. Atomic Coordinates/Å and Temperature Factors/Å² for $Cs₃[Eu(dipic)₃]·9H₂O$

$C_{21}H_{27}Cs_3EuN_3O_{21}$ (1)	$M = 1208.2$	atom	\boldsymbol{x}	y	z	$U^{\rm a}$
orthorhombic	space group $C222_1$	Cs(1)	0.2436(1)	0.47610(6)	0.74347(7)	0.05039(3)
$a = 10.165(2)$ Å	$T = 293(2)$ K	Cs(2)	0.50000	0.2621(1)	0.75000	0.0490(7)
$b = 18.164(4)$ Å	$\lambda = 0.7107$ ₃ Å	Eu	0.2479(1)	0.50000	0.50000	0.0219(3)
$c = 18.724(4)$ Å	ρ_{calc} = 2.32 g cm ⁻³	O(11)	0.4168(8)	0.4809(5)	0.5917(5)	0.031(3)
$V = 3460(1)$ Å ³	$\mu = 50.1$ cm ⁻¹	O(12)	0.5412(11)	0.4056(9)	0.6577(7)	0.041(4)
$Z=4$	$R = 0.041$	O(13)	0.1872(9)	0.4138(6)	0.4046(5)	0.035(3)
	$R_{w} = 0.044$	O(14)	0.2237(14)	0.3138(7)	0.3390(6)	0.056(5)
$C_{35}H_{67}CoEuN_9O_{25}$ (2)	$M = 1224.9$	N(11)	0.3710(9)	0.3784(6)	0.4951(6)	0.025(3)
monoclinic	space group $P2_1/n$	C(12)	0.4608(12)	0.3609(7)	0.5463(7)	0.027(4)
$a = 10.611(4)$ Å	$T = 293(2)$ K	C(13)	0.5293(17)	0.2968(9)	0.5467(9)	0.049(6)
$b = 26.500(10)$ A	$\lambda = 0.7107$, A	C(14)	0.5041(26)	0.2473(10)	0.5037(21)	0.062(6)
$c = 17.583(7)$ Å	ρ_{calc} = 1.65 g cm ⁻³	C(15)	0.4098(18)	0.2637(9)	0.4413(8)	0.047(6)
$\beta = 91.97(3)^{\circ}$	$\mu = 16.8$ cm ⁻¹	C(16)	0.3467(14)	0.3308(8)	0.4435(8)	0.034(5)
$V = 4941(3)$ \AA^3	$R_1 = 0.0372$	C(17)	0.4759(12)	0.4210(10)	0.6027(8)	0.029(5)
$Z = 4$	$wR_2 = 0.0961$	C(18)	0.2451(18)	0.3537(8)	0.3919(8)	0.034(4)
		O(21)	0.1408(9)	0.5775(6)	0.4118(5)	0.033(3)
actors defined as follows: $R_1 = F_o - F_c /\sum F_o $. $R_w = \sum (F_o)$		O(22)	$-0.0345(12)$	0.6067(8)	0.3443(6)	0.043(4)
\sqrt{w})/ $\sum (F_0 \sqrt{w})$, $w = 1/(\sigma 2(F) + 0.00003F^2)$. $wR_2 = {\sum [w(F_0^2 - 1.00003F^2 + 0.00003F^2 + 0.00003F^2]}$		N(21)	0.0002(16)	0.50000	0.50000	0.028(4)
2]}/ $\sqrt{\{\sum[w(F_{o}^{2})^{2}]\}}$, $w = [\sigma^{2}(F_{o}^{2}) + (0.0445P)^{2} + 10.67P]^{-1}$,		C(22)	$-0.0669(12)$	0.5391(9)	0.4523(7)	0.031(4)
$P = (\max(F_0^2, 0) + 2F_c^2)/3$.		C(23)	$-0.2030(14)$	0.5402(12)	0.4506(8)	0.049(6)
		C(24)	$-0.2638(22)$	0.50000	0.50000	0.024(5)
he expected ^{15,27} D_3 lel ₃ structure with all nitrogen donor		C(27)	0.0187(15)	0.5776(9)	0.3962(9)	0.031(5)
of a given cation having the same configuration. Atomic		$O(1)$ w	0.50000	0.2524(7)	0.50000	0.024(6)
nates for the salt are given in Table 4, and a Δ -Co/ Λ -Eu		$O(2)$ w	$-0.0393(15)$	0.4134(11)	0.3210(8)	0.076(7)
-anion pair is shown in Figure 2. Bond lengths and bond		$O(3)$ w	0.5542(19)	0.5871(10)	0.6749(10)	0.098(8)
		$O(4)$ w $O(5)$ w	0.2514(21)	0.1647(11)	0.6122(11)	0.136(10)
within the cation are closely similar to those observed \sim \sim \sim			0.2236(20)	0.6955(10)	0.3265(13)	0.150(11)

"Equivalent isotropic *U* defined as one-third of the trace of the orthogonalized **U,,** tensor.

complicated hydrogen-bonded network, eight molecules per formula unit being found in fully occupied sites and being hydrogen bonded to one another and dipicolinate oxygens, while five molecules per formula unit are disordered over sites found within a channel formed by separate stacks of cations and anions. This channel lies almost parallel to *a* and the water molecules within it appear to have considerable freedom of motion, so that it is not possible to give an exact description of the nature of the bonding. Basic hydrogen bond characteristic parameters are given in Table *5,* population parameters being included in Table 4. Aside from OH...O bonding involving

⁽²⁷⁾ Comba, P.; Engelhardt, L. M.; Harrowfield, J. M.; Horn, E.; Sargeson, A. M.; Snow, M. R.; White, **A.** H. *Inorg. Chem.* **1985, 24,** 2325.

⁽²⁸⁾ Anderson, P. A.; Creaser, I. I .; Dean, C.; Harrowfield, J. M.; Horn, E.; Martin, L. L.; Sargeson, **A.** M.; Snow, M. R.; Tiekink, E. R. T. *Aust. J. Chem.* **1993, 46,** 449.

⁽²⁹⁾ Clark, I. J.; Geue, R. J.; Engelhardt, L. M.; Harrowfield, J. M.; Sargeson, **A.** M.; White, A. H. *Aust. J. Chem.* **1993, 46,** 1485.

⁽³⁰⁾ Bottomley, G. **A.;** Clark, I. J.; Creaser, I. I.; Engelhardt, L. M.; Geue, R. I.; Hagen, K. **S.;** Harrowfield, J. M.; Lawrance, *G.* **A.;** Lay, P. **A,;** Sargeson, **A.** M.; See, A. J.; Skelton, B. W.; White, A. H.; Wilner, F. R. *Aust. J. Chem.* **1994,47,** 143.

Table 3. Hydrogen Bond Parameters for Cs₃[Eu(dipic)₃]·9H₂O

^{*a*} Asterisk indicates U_{eq} is defined as one-third of the trace of the orthogonalized U_{ij} tensor.

Table 5. Hydrogen Bond Parameters for [Co(sar)][Eu(dipic)₃]·13H₂O

$DH \cdots A$	sym transformation of A	$D-H/A$	$H \cdot \cdot A/\tilde{A}$	$D \cdot \cdot A/A$	angle DHA/deg
$O(1w)H(1wa) \cdot O(6W)$	$-x, 1 - y, -z$	0.85	1.95	2.764	159
$O(1w)H(1wb)\cdots O(31)$	$0.5 - x$, $0.5 + y$, $0.5 - z$	0.85	1.92	2.764	170
$O(2w)H(2wa) \cdot \cdot \cdot O(8W)$	$-0.5 + x$, $0.5 - y$, $-0.5 + z$	0.85	2.21	3.000	155
$O(2w)H(2wb)\cdots O(5W)$	$0.5 + x$, $0.5 - y$, $-0.5 + z$	0.85	2.08	2.926	179
$O(3w)H(3wa) \cdot \cdot \cdot O(8W)$	$-1 + x, y, z$	0.85	1.91	2.759	179
$O(3w)H(3wb)\cdot O(22)$	$-1 + x, y, z$	0.85	1.90	2.752	178
$O(4w)H(4wa) \cdot \cdot \cdot O(15W)$	$0.5 + x$, $0.5 - y$, $-0.5 + z$	0.85	2.15	2.925	152
$O(4w)H(4wb)\cdot O(23)$	$1 + x, y, z$	0.85	2.03	2.878	180
$O(5w)H(5wa) \cdot \cdot \cdot O(2W)$	$-0.5 + x$, $0.5 - y$, $0.5 + z$	0.85	2.12	2.926	142
$O(5w)H(5wb)\cdots O(6W)$	$0.5 + x$, $0.5 - y$, $0.5 + z$	0.85	1.87	2.719	178
$O(7w)H(7wa) \cdot \cdot \cdot O(8W)$	$-0.5 + x$, $0.5 - y$, $-0.5 + z$	0.85	2.06	2.734	137
$O(8w)H(8wb)\cdot O(7W)$	$0.5 - x$, $-0.5 + y$, $0.5 - z$	0.85	1.94	2.709	149
$O(8w)H(8wa) \cdot \cdot \cdot O(3W)$	$1 + x, y, z$	0.85	1.91	2.759	180

the complex anion and water, the cation-anion interactions appear to involve a contribution from NH[.] O bonding, the closest distance of approach of one coordinated secondary amino group proton to **an** uncoordinated carboxylate group oxygen being 1.80 **A.** The shortest **Eu.** - *Co* separation in the lattice is 7.41 **A,** and the shortest **Eu.-*Eu** separation is 10.12 **A.**

Figure 3. Energy level diagram showing 3d states (Cr(III), Co(II1)) and 4f states (Eu(III), Tb(II1)) and indicating the overlap of broad transition metal bands with sharp lanthanide bands. "a" denotes a spin-allowed transition.

Deviations of the anion symmetry from D_3 are again very small, though significantly larger than in the cesium salt; the angles between the planes including the midpoint of the triangle $O(11)-O(21)-O(31)$, Eu, and these three bonded oxygens are 61.6, 59.7, and 58.7", and those between the midpoint of the triangle $O(13)-O(23)-O(33)$, Eu and those bonded oxygens are 58.9, 63.8 and 57.3°, respectively. The dihedral angle between the $O(11)-O(21)-O(31)$ and $O(13)-O(23)-O(33)$ planes is 3.7", and the centroids of the planes are 3.33 **A** apart.

Photophysical Properties of Microcrystalline [Ln(dipic)₃] $[ML_x]_v^n H_2O$ (Ln = Eu(III), Tb(III); M = Cr(III), Co(III), **Rh(III), Cs(I), N(C₂H₅)₄⁺). (a) Emission Spectroscopy.** Excitation of the Cr- and Co-containing complexes of this series through the first excited state of Eu(III), 5D_0 , of Tb(III), 5D_4 , or *of* the dipicolinate ligand results in a very weak, sometimes even indetectable, luminescence from the lanthanide ions. This is due to the resonance between the Ln(II1) excited levels and the broad d states of the $Cr(III)$ and $Co(III)$ ions (Figures 3 and **4).** The absorption bands of the transition metal ions overlap the spectral range of the narrow line emission spectra of Eu- (111) and Tb(III), resulting in an efficient quenching of the Ln luminescence, as shown by the rather short luminescence lifetimes (Table 6). In contrast, Cs(I), Rh(III), and $N(C_2H_5)_{4}$ ⁺ are cations which do not possess low-lying energy levels able to quench the Ln luminescence and the Eu(III) compounds containing these countercations therefore display an intense red emission (Figure 5).

Figure 4. Excitation spectra at **77** K for (a) Cr(II1) doublet emission from $[Cr(urea)_6][Eu(dipic)_3]$ (upper trace) and (b) $Eu(III)$ $^5D_0^-$ ⁻⁷ F_0 emission from $Cs_3[Eu(dipic)_3]$ (lower trace).

The crystallographic data given above for both the Eu(III)containing complexes $Cs_3[Eu(dipic)_3]$ -9H₂O and $[Co(sar)][Eu (dipic)$ ₃].13H₂O reveal that numerous water molecules are incorporated in the unit cell in disordered positions. Murray et al.4 have investigated the effect of the degree of hydration on the luminescence spectra of different $Na₃[Eu(dipic)₃]*nH₂O$ hydrates and have found that different arrangements of the hydrate molecules result in different crystal field splittings, clearly reflected in the emission spectra. Similar effects have been found in most of the presently examined compounds.

Table 6. Eu(⁵D₀), Tb(⁵D₄), and Cr(²E_c) Luminescence Lifetimes (77 K) in [Ln(dipic)₃13⁻ Salts

Figure 5. Europium(II1) emission (77 K) from **(a)** [Rh(en)3][Eu- (dipic)₃], $\lambda_{\text{exc}} = 376.5$ nm (upper trace), (b) $\text{Cs}_3[\text{Eu}(\text{dipic})_3]$, $\lambda_{\text{exc}} =$ 395 nm (middle trace), and (c) $[N(C_2H_5)_4]_3[Eu(dipic)_3]$, $\lambda_{exc} = 580.75$ nm (lower trace).

Figure 6. Eu(III) ${}^5D_0-{}^7F_0$ excitation spectra (77 K) for (from top to bottom) (a) [Co(NH3)6][Eu(dipic),], (b) **[Cr(diamsar)][Eu(dipic)3],** (c) $[N(C_2H_5)_4]_3[Eu(dipic)_3]$, (d) $[Cr(en)_3][Eu(dipic)_3]$, and (e) $[Co(en)_3][Eu (dipic)_{3}$].

Figure 6 displays Eu $(^5D_0-^7F_0)$ excitation spectra obtained by monitoring the Eu $(^5D_0 - ^7F_2)$ transition. The 0-0 transition being unique for a given metal ion environment, the number of its components and its band shape are indicative of the type of structure prevailing in the complex.³¹ In all the compounds examined, the energy of the ${}^5D_0-{}^7F_0$ transition is dependent upon the nature of the $[ML_x]^{z+}$ cation(s). The narrowest transition is observed for $[Co(en)_3][Eu(dipic)_3]$, with a full width at half-height (fwhh) of 2.5 cm^{-1} , typical of one single and welldefined environment for the lanthanide ion. Where different hydrates are present, as appears to be the case with $[Cr(en)_3]$ - $[Eu(dipic)₃], 0-0$ transitions are found at different energies. When an Eu(II1) compound contains a statistical distribution of molecules with somewhat different conformations or when the compound has a polymeric nature, the bandwidth of the 0-0 transition increases considerably.³¹ This is observed for $[N(C₂H₅)₄]₃[Eu(dipic)₃]$ and $[Cr((NH₂)₂ sar)][Eu(dipic)₃], sug$ gesting a substantial disorder in the positions of hydrate water molecules and/or the presence of several different hydrates (as suggested by repetitive elemental analyses). In some instances, different batches of the same compound yielded $0-0$ excitation spectra displaying different components. Selective laser excitations through the profile of the $0-0$ transition reveal significant differences between the emission spectra. In spite of this, most of them clearly display the characteristics of the *pseudo-D3* symmetry expected for the $[Eu(dipic)₃]^{3-}$ species. Under this symmetry point group, the ${}^5D_0-{}^7F_1$ and ${}^5D_0-{}^7F_2$ transitions are comprised of two components assigned to A_1-E , A_1-A_2 , and A_1 –*E* (twice), respectively.³¹

Metcalf et al.^{2,32} have reported a chiral discrimination in energy transfer between $[Ln(dipic)_3]^{3-}$ and enantiomerically resolved Co(II1) complexes in solution. They assumed that enantioselective quenching in these luminophore-quencher systems reflects intermolecular chiral discrimination that depends on the details of the chiral structure of the Co(II1) complexes. They also reported that the strongest optical activity was observed for the $5D_0$ ⁻⁷ F_1 transition. In the compounds studied herein, this transition seems to be more sensitive to deviations from D_3 symmetry than the hypersensitive ${}^5D_0-{}^7F_2$ transition, and in most of the compounds, the $A_1 - E$ component splits into two bands. Despite the narrow $0-0$ transition observed for $[Co(en)_3][Eu(dipic)_3]$, both components of the $5D_0$ ⁻⁷ F_1 transition appear as doublets (splittings: 10 and 18) cm⁻¹). The ${}^5D_0-{}^7F_0$ excitation spectra recorded by setting the analyzing wavelength on each component of the two doublets reveal exactly the same narrow line centered at **17** 212 cm-'. We interpret these data as reflecting the sensitivity of the $5D_0$ - $^{7}F_1$ transition to chirality; both $[Co(en)_3]^{3+}$ and $[Eu(dipic)_3]^{3-}$ are chiral species, so that two different cation/anion pairs, Δ_{Co}

⁽³¹⁾ Biinzli, J.-C. G. In *Lanthanide Probes in Life, Chemical and Earth Sciences. Theory and Practice;* Bunzli, J.-C. *G.,* Choppin, G. R., Eds.; Elsevier Scientific Publishers: Amsterdam, 1989; Chapter *7.*

⁽³²⁾ Metcalf. D. H.; Stewart, J. M. McD.; Snyder, S. W.; Grisham. C. **M.;** Richardson, F. S. *Inorg. Chem.* **1992.** *31.* 2445.

Table 7. Crystal Field Levels $(cm^{-1}, {}^{7}F_0$ Origin) for Eu(III) in [MN₆][Eu(dipic)₃], Identified from Luminescence Spectra at 77 K

			level $[MN_6] = [Cr(en)_3]$ $[MN_6] = [Co(en)_3]$ $[MN_6] = [Cr((NH_2)_2\text{sar})]$
$7F_1$	304	321	323
	401	331	378
	416	386	412
		404	
$7F_2$	960.	955	965
	1010	984	995

 Δ_{Eu} ($\Lambda_{Co}/\Lambda_{Eu}$) and Δ_{Co}/Λ_{Eu} (Λ_{Co}/Δ_{Eu}) are possible. If both types of pair are present in the crystalline solid, a question we are presently attempting to answer through further crystallographic studies, then the ${}^{7}F_1$ level of the Eu(III) ions would be subject to slightly different crystal fields, thereby resulting in splitting of the $5D_0$ ⁻⁷ F_1 transition and explaining the observed results (Table **7).** The effect of this diastereoisomeric difference would then have to be too small to induce observable splitting of the ${}^5D_0-{}^7F_0$ transition, but we note that its fwhh (2.5 cm^{-1}) is somewhat larger than is observed for unequivocally defined and rigid metal ion sites $(1-2 \text{ cm}^{-1})^{31}$ and that complete dehydration of the compound $Na₃[Eu(dipic)₃][•]15H₂O$ produces a shift in the ${}^5D_0-{}^7F_0$ transition of only 1 cm⁻¹ (from 17 215 to $17 \, 214 \, \text{cm}^{-1}$ ¹.⁴ The presence of two components in this transition cannot therefore be completely ruled out. The crystal structure of **[Co(sar)][Eu(dipic)3]*13H20** does not show the presence of inequivalent Eu centers, but Metcalf et al.² have demonstrated that seemingly minor variations in the nature of Co(III) hexamine quenchers can have major effects on their quenching efficiency, presumably because of differences in associated-ion structures, so it would be unwise to conclude that solid $[Co(en)_3][Eu(dipic)_3]$ must be similar to $[Co(sar)][Eu-$ (dipic)3]. Nonetheless, if coordinated amine **NH** to coordinated carboxylate 0 hydrogen bonding were again to be important in the cation-anion association, the Eu $\cdot \cdot$ Co separation would still be close to 7.5 A.

Some of the Cr(III) containing compounds display a relatively intense luminescence from the Cr ${}^{2}E_{g}$ level which can be used to determine the symmetry of the coordination polyhedron around the chromium ion. The main emission of Cr(II1) normally arises from the spin-forbidden ${}^{2}E_{g} - {}^{4}A_{2g}$ transition, which usually appears as a narrow doublet. In ruby, in which the Cr(III) ion lies in a C_{3v} local environment, the doublet is split by 29.4 cm^{-1} at 77 K.³³ In the $[Cr(en)_3][Eu(dipic)_3]$ complex, the Cr doublet displays a splitting of **31.2** cm-', indicative of trigonal symmetry for the chromium environment. In other compounds, the components of the doublet are much broader, as expected for lower symmetry Cr coordination polyhedra. With the exception of the compounds containing cage amine complexes of Cr(III), all are somewhat sensitive to photodecomposition,³⁴ so that $[Cr(en)_3][Eu(dipic)_3]$, for example, quite rapidly changes color from yellow to pink (indicating loss of the amine ligand) when exposed to laser radiation or more slowly when simply stored in normal light.

(b) Ligand-to-Metal Energy Transfer. In the absence of quenchers such as Cr(III) or Co(III) amine complexes, $[Eu(dipic)₃]$ ³⁻ moieties are efficient light-converting devices through ligand-to-metal energy transfer. Even at room temperature they give an intense red emission upon excitation with *UV* radiation. The maximum of the ligand excitation band lies above **40** 000 cm-I, meaning that the light conversion process

involves **an** efficient multiphonon assistance. The size of the gap between the ligand feeding level and the Eu $({}^5D_0)$ emitting level near 18 000 cm⁻¹ shows that a resonance condition is not essential for an efficient ligand-to-metal energy transfer as long as adequate vibronic couplings are at hand. In addition, the location of ligand states at high energy prevents both a mixing of these states with the **4f** states and a back-transfer from the excited metal to the ligand, as often observed for $Tb(III)$ compounds,³⁵ and favors high quantum yields. In some instances, it appears that the $\nu(C=O)$ vibrational mode is an efficient relay to gain resonance between the ligand and Eu- (III) or Tb(III) levels. Sabbatini, et al.³⁶ have shown that the **[Tb(p-tert-butylcalix[4]arenetetraamide)]** complex, where Tb-(III) is coordinated to four carbonyl groups (and four ether oxygens), is a very good luminescent stain. In works involving some of the present authors, it has been observed that $[Tb_2(p$ tert-butylcalix[8]arene-6H)(dmf)₅], in which each Tb(III) is bound to dmf carbonyl oxygen atoms, shows very efficient ligand-to-metal energy transfer⁵ and that $Eu(III)$ mixed-ligand β -diketonate complexes have a particularly high quantum yield upon ligand excitation when the ligand-to-metal charge transfer state lies at high energy.³⁷ In the $[Eu(dipic)₃]$ ³⁻ anion, the metal ion is bonded to each dipicolinate ligand through two oxygen atoms of different carboxylate groups and the nitrogen atom of the pyridine moiety. Excitation spectra show that Eu emission is observed for short wavelengths assigned to dipicolinate absorption, suggesting once again that energy transfer from the donor to the acceptor levels is promoted by vibronic coupling involving $\nu(C=O)$.

luminescence decays were found to be monoexponential. Thus, all the emitting species in the macromolecular samples must be identical with respect to both the number of acceptors around the donors and their distance of separation. The luminescence lifetimes are given in Table **6.** Compounds without Cr or Co moieties as acceptors have luminescence lifetimes of about 2 ms, showing that, as found in all cases where crystal structures have been determined, "water of crystallization" in the compounds is not coordinated to the Ln^{3+} ions but is hydrogenbonded to the dipicolinate ligands.^{5,35} When Cr(III) acceptors are present, the lifetimes decrease drastically to less than 0.1 ms (Table *6),* while the Eu and Tb lifetimes are too short to measure for the Co(II1)-containing samples. Co(II1) complexes are known to have spin-forbidden d-d transitions which are lower in energy than the readily observed ${}^{1}A_{1g} - {}^{1}T_{1g}$ transition (Figure *3),* and the weak absorption bands associated with these transitions overlap several ${}^5D_0-{}^7F_J$ (Eu) and ${}^5D_4-{}^7F_J$ (Tb) emission manifolds. The immeasurably short Eu and Tb lifetimes in the cobalt(III) amine complex salts therefore indicate that the ${}^{3}T_{1g}$ and ${}^{3}T_{2g}$ levels play a crucial role as acceptor levels in the $Ln \rightarrow Co$ energy transfer mechanism. Moreover, when lanthanide ions are excited through a ligand band at 308 nm, the luminescence lifetimes are significantly longer. We therefore conclude that the ligand-to-Ln energy transfer process occurs *via* a long-lived ligand triplet state and perhaps may also involve higher M(III) energy levels which provide a rate-limiting pathway in the overall conversion process. Finally, we note an interesting property of $[Cr(urea)_6][Tb(dipic)_3]$: the $Tb(^5D_4)$ (c) **Luminescence Lifetimes.** The $Eu(^5D_0)$ and $Tb(^5D_4)$

⁽³³⁾ Bums, G.; Geiss, E. **A.;** Jenkins, B. **A,;** Nathan, M. I. *Phys. Rev.* **1%5,** *139,* 1867.

⁽³⁴⁾ Forster, L. S. In *Excited State of Transition Elements;* Jezowska-Trzebiatowska, B., Legendiewicz, **J.,** Strek, W., Eds.: World Scientific: Singapore, 1989; p 127 and references therein.

⁽³⁵⁾ Piguet, C.: Williams, **A.** F.; Bemardinelli, G.; Biinzli, J.-C. G. *Inorg. Chem.* **1993,32,** 4139. Piguet, **C.;** Biinzli, J.-C. G.; Bemardinelli, *G.:* Hopfgartner, G.: Williams, **A.** F. *J. Am. Chem. SOC.* **1993,** *115,* 8197.

⁽³⁶⁾ Sabbatini, N.; Guardigli, M.; Mecati, **A,;** Balzani, V.; Ungaro, R.; Ghidini, E.; Casnati, **A.;** Pochini, **A.** *J. Chem.* **SOC.,** *Chem. Commun.* **1990,** 878.

⁽³⁷⁾ Biinzli, J.-C. G.; Moret, E.; Foiret, V.; Schenk, K. **J.;** Wang, M. Z.: Jin, L. **J.** *Alloys Compounds* **1994,** in press,

lifetime is quite short, despite the intense luminescence of this compound. This we interpret in terms **of** the *5D4* state being continuously populated through higher Cr(III) levels while it is simultaneously deactivated by the lower Cr(II1) levels.

Conclusions. It is apparent that the spin forbidden $d-d$ transitions of both $Cr(III)$ and $Co(III)$ lie at an energy (which is only slightly dependent to the nature of the bound ligands) such that they provide an efficient pathway for the quenching of luminescence from Eu(1II) and Tb(II1) tris(dipicolinat0) complexes. However, it also appears that spin-allowed transitions, which are, of course, markedly sensitive to the nature of the ligand donor atoms, may, when their energy is appropriate, serve to transfer excitation from the transition metal to the lanthanide ion. For the particular complexes studied in the present work, NH[.] \cdot O(carboxylate) hydrogen bonding between the two complex ion species^{38,39} is probably a critical aspect of the energy transfer processes, and we are directing current synthetic studies toward materials incorporating non-hydrogenbonding cations in order to explore this hypothesis further. The

photosensitivity of compounds such as the $[Cr(en)_3][Ln(dipic)_3]$ series suggests that it may be possible to use photolysis to form derivatives in which the amine ligands are lost and carboxylato groups bridge the transition and rare earth metal ions, so enhancing interactions between the two centers at the same time as perturbing the Cr(II1) energy levels. Thus, we are also currently investigating thermal as well as photochemical pathways to such materials.

Acknowledgment. This work was supported by grants from the Swiss National Science Foundation and the Australian Research Council. We thank the Fondation Herbette (Lausanne) for the gift of two lasers and Ms. Veronique Foiret for technical assistance .

Supplementary Material Available: Tables Sup. 1-9 containing elemental analyses, a summary of crystallographic details, bond lengths, bond angles, anisotropic temperature factors, and calculated hydrogen atom coordinates and *U* values for Cs₃[Eu(dipic)₃] $9H_2O$ and [Co(sar)]- $[Eu(dipic)₃]$ ⁻13H₂O and figures showing emission spectra of $[ML_x][Eu-$ (dipic)3] **(24** pages). Ordering information is given on any current masthead page.

IC941 119+

⁽³⁸⁾ Bolender, J. P.; Metcalf, D. H.; Richardson, F. S. *Chem. Phys. Left.* **1993,** *213,* 131.

⁽³⁹⁾ Harrowfield, J. M.; **Kim,** Y.; Skelton, B. W.; White, **A.** H. *Aust. J. Chem.* **1995,** *48,* in press.